UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/029,461	12/21/2001	John A. Dispenza	129250-001049/US	5477		
32498 7590 07/14/2008 CAPITOL PATENT & TRADEMARK LAW FIRM, PLLC			EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 1995 VIENNA, VA 22183		LIN, KUANG Y				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	•		1793			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
•			07/14/2009	PAPED		

. Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	(Applicant/o)		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summany	10/029,461	DISPENZA ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
Ti 1441 NO DATE (41)	Kuang Y. Lin	1793		
- The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE.	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Ma	ay 2008.			
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) 17 and 18 is/are without	drawn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16,19 and 20</u> is/are rejected.	•			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	-1			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	pted or b) \square objected to by the E	Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction		` ,		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign pa) All b) Some * c) None of:	oriority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	• •			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori		d in this National Stage		
application from the International Bureau	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	n the certified copies not receive	σ.		
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/29/02</u> . 6)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Application/Control Number: 10/029,461 Page 2

Art Unit: 1793

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1-8 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 4,344,477 to Miki et al. and further in view of US 5,040,589 to Bradley et al.

Miki et al. substantially show (see, col. 1, lines 24-35) the invention as claimed except that they do not show to rheocast Mg alloy to unite the conductive core object. However, it is a common knowledge that Mg alloy possesses high thermal conductivity, it would have been obvious to use Mg alloy as a cast material for forming the fins of the heat exchanger of Miki et al. Further, Bradley et al. show that it is desirable to rheocast Mg alloy, instead of die casting of molten Mg alloy, such that to reduce the energy consumption, increase the die service life, etc. (see col. 1, lines 10-51). It would have been obvious to use the

Application/Control Number: 10/029,461

Art Unit: 1793

semi-solid Mg alloy of Bradley et al. as a casting material in the process of making heat exchanger of Miki et al. in view of the advantage. With respect to claims 3 and 4, it would have been obvious to obtain the optimal composition and process parameters for forming the fins through routine experimentation.

Page 3

4. Claims 9-16 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 4,344,477 to Miki et al. and further in view of US 5,040,589 to Bradley et al. as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of US 3,841,390 to DiBenedetto et al.

Dibenedetto et al. show to continuous cast articles by using a use a continuous casting machine, which consists of two series of die plates, such that to speed up the casting process. It would have been obvious to use the continuous casting machine of DiBenedetto et al. for forming the heat exchanger of Miki et al. in view of the advantage. with respect to claims 11 and 12, it would have been obvious to obtain the optimal composition and process parameters for forming the fins through routine experimentation.

- 5. Applicant's arguments filed May 29, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
 - a. Applicant in page 7, 3rd para. of the response stated that neither Miki nor Bradley disclose the feature of "a substantially continuous void free interface between a core object and a metal slurry" as in claim1. However, When the semi-solid magnesium alloy of Bradley is used in the process of Miki for making the heat exchanger, it is expected to have a substantially void free interface between the core and the metal slurry since the semi-solid slurry of Bradley is

Application/Control Number: 10/029,461

Art Unit: 1793

also injected into the mold cavity of Miki to unite the core perform. The modified process is the same process as the instant application. The result of that process will be the same as that of instant application.

Page 4

- b. Applicant in page 8, 1st para. of the response stated that Miki provides insufficient motivation to use a thixotropic metal because the higher viscosity metal would have less flowability in forming intimate contact to create the interface with the object. However, it is a known practice to inject semi-solid slurry into a casting die to unite to a preform object (see, for example, col. 3, lines 18-65, col. 6, lines 28-40 and examples 1-6 of US 5,775,403 to Premkumar et al.) Thus, to use a thixotropic metal in the process of Miki to unite to a preform object is deemed to be obvious to those of ordinary skill in the casting art.
- c. Applicant in page 9, 2nd para. of the response stated that Bradley et al. do not suggest to use AZ91D magnesium in their process. However, they do disclose that various modified composition of alloy AZ91 also were used in their process (see col. 12, lines 26-27, col. 13, lines 42-46). Also, it is a known practice to use thixo-molding method for casting AZ91 series of magnesium alloy (see col. 5, 1st para. of US 6,460,602 to Kubota et al.) Thus, to use AZ91D in Bradley et al. is deemed to be obvious to those of ordinary skill in the casting art.
- d. Applicant in page 10, 3rd para. of the response stated that vulcanized rubber belts of DiBenedetto are not suitable to be used at the pressure and temperature of Miki and Bradley et al. However, DiBenedetto does disclose that it is conventional to use continuous casting machine having metallic belt-mold

Art Unit: 1793

sections for casting metallic article (see col.1, lines 5-16 of DiBenedetto). The metallic belt-mold sections continuous casting machine of DiBenedetto is the same as that of instant application.

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kuang Y. Lin whose telephone number is 571-272-1179. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 10:00-6:30,

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jessica L. Ward can be reached on 571-272-1223. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1793

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Kuang Lin/ Primary Examiner AU 1793

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
*	Α	US-6,460,602	10-2002	Kubota et al.	164/138
*	В	US-5,775,403	07-1998	Premkumar et al.	164/98
	C	US-			
	D	US-			
	Е	US-			
	F	US-			
	G	US-		·	
	Н	US-			
	1	US-			
	J	US-			
	к	US-			
	L	US-			
	М	US-			

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	N					
	0					
	Р					
	Q			•		
	R					
	s					
	Т					

NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)			
	U				
	\ \				
	w				
	×				

*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).) Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.





3743

Attorney Docket: Dispenza 8-3

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants: J. A. DISPENZA, ET AL.

Application No.: 10/029,461

Filed: December 21, 2001

For: HEAT EXCHANGING APPARATUS

AND METHOD OF MANUFACTURE

Art Unit: 3743

Examiner:

TO: Box Non-Fee Amendment Commissioner for Patents

Washington, DC 20231

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail with sufficient postage in an envelope addressed to:

Commissioner for Patents: Washington: D.C. 20231 on the date noted below.

ttorney: Leg Zucker

Dated: March/20, 2002

RECEIVED

APR 0 3 2002

TECHNOLOGY CENTER R3700

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

SIR:

Attached are applicants' Information Disclosure Statement Forms PTO/SB/08A & /08B. Copies of all references cited on the forms are also attached. Applicants respectfully request that the references be considered and made of record in the present application.

Respectfully submitted,

Leo Zucker, Attorney for Applicants

Registration No. 27,608

50 Main Street, Suite 480 White Plains, New York 10606

Telephone: (914) 761-7799

March 20, 2002

/	OIPE
ج)	MAR 2 9 2002
1	MADE! ADLCE



PTG/SB/DBA (10-01) wed for use through 10/31/2002. CNIB 0851-0031 and Office U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Substitute for form 1448A/PTO

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT

(use as many sheets as necessary)

of

Complete # Known				
Application Number	10/029 461			
Filling Date	12/21/2001			
First Named Inventor	J.A. Dispenzo			
Art Unit	3743			
Examiner Name				
Attorney Docket Number				

			U.S. PAT	ENT DOCUMENTS		1
Examinar Initiats	CB T	_Document Number Number-10nd Orde ² (Finous	Publication Date MM-DD-YYYY	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Clad Document	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Ratevent Passages or Relevant Figures Appear	
/KL/		us- 5,040,589 · · ·	. 08/20/1991 .	Bradley: et.al		
/KĽ/	ŀ	US- 4,694,882	09/22/1987 09/22/1987	Busk · · ·		·
		US-			\mathbb{R}	ECEIVED
		US	· .	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	l	APR 0 3 2002
• • •		U8-				OLOGY CENTER R370
		U8- U8-		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		ייים מייים איים איים איים איים איים איים
		U8- U9-	· · · · ·	· · · ·		
-		US- US-			•	,
		US- US-				٠.
		U8- U5-				

	FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS							
Examiner Initials	Cibe No.1	Foreign Patent Document CompyCales - Hamber - Kind Code (Filmer)	Publication Date	Memo of Patentino or Applicant of Clad Document	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Retrieve Pressages or Retrieve Equipme Appear	70		
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
			·					
			•••			•		

Examiner Signature	/Kuang Lin/	Date Considered	01/23/2008

"EXAMPLER: Initial if returence considered, whether or not olistion is in conformance with MPEP 609. Done the through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

1 Applicant's unique citation designation cumber (optional). 2 See Kinds Codes of USIPTO Patent Documents at www.usoto.cog or MPEP 901.04. 2 Ease Citics that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WPO Standard ST.3). 4 For Japanese patent documents, the includediscs of the part of the entry of the document of the patent document. 5 Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as included on the document coder WPO Standard ST. 10 if possible. 5 Applicant is to place a check mark have if English impange Translation is ellected.

Engine magazine i remembra is estimated to take 2.0 hours to complete. This will very depending upon the reside of the includes ones. Any comments on the excess of time you are required to complete this form should be east to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Printed and Trademert Officer, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEED OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THES ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Petents, Washington, DC 20231.

Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB

INFORMATION DISCL	OSURF
STATEMENT BY APPL	

(use as many sheets as necessary

of

Sheet

Complete if Known Application Number 10/029.461 First Named Invento **Group Art Unit Examiner Name Attorney Docket Number**

APR 0 3 2002

TECHNOLY GY CENTER R3700 OTHER PRIOR ART - NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the Cibe Examiner Bam (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), data, page(s), volume-issue number(s), nublisher, city and/or country where published Initials T² K. Saito et al, Development of an Injection /K.L./ Molding Process for Magnesium Alloys N.L. Bradley et al, Thixomoulding, Advanced /K.L./: .. Machinery for Polymer Processing, pp 174-79 (undated)

Examiner /Kuang Lin/ 07/09/2008 Considered

^{*}EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not cliation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

¹ Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). 2 Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 2.0 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents.